

| Technical Evaluation Criterion for Shelter Training | | |
|---|--|-----------|
| Technical Criteria | Description | Score (%) |
| Valid Company Information and Legal Status | 1. The Firm has provided Valid Company/Organization registration License [3%] | 5% |
| | 3. The Firm has provided IFRC Supplier Registration Form duly filled with required information [2%] | |
| Organization profile | 4. The Firm's company set-up of its business, and in-depth description of the relevant specialized nature of work they do [9%] | 16% |
| | 5. The Firm has expressed their desire and capacity to develop comprehensive training contents, create detailed 3D views and specifications for a 40-square-meter shelter. [7%] | |
| Service Firm's Experience | 8. The Firm has listed in a Table, similar previous projects and relevant experience (preferably training facilitation) [8%] | 23% |
| | 9. The Firm has more than 5 Years Experience and has been actively present in the relevant sector [9%] | |
| | 10. The Firm has provided information and suitable evidence of similar types of works (with their value, any documents, letters, contract copies, or relevant information demonstrating experience and capacity to deliver) as proof of Company's existence in the relevant Sector and its stability in the Sector. It has demonstrated and proven track record of successfully delivering similar software projects, preferably in the field of skill based safe shelter construction, earthquake resilient construction and safe shelter awareness trainings or related sectors (on-the-job trainings focusing on practical construction techniques)[6%] | |
| Proposed Structure & Staff for the Project | 12. The Firm has attached Curriculum Vitae (CVs) and any certifications of proposed facilitators (National Experts or International Consultants) who will be conducting the training sessions: Yes=4%; No=1% | 20% |
| | 13. The Training Facilitator Firm has proposed a team leader with more than 3 years' experience in conducting and facilitating similar type of trainings [4%] | |
| | 14. The Training Facilitator Firm has proposed team members with more than 3 years' experience in conducting and facilitating similar type of trainings[5%] | |
| | 16. The Team Leader (TL) and the team members have fluency in English, Pashto, and Dari (writing, speaking): Yes=3%; No=1%. | |
| | 17. The Firm's team members have considerable understanding and knowledge of the safe shelter construction, earthquake resilient construction and safe shelter awareness, local context and culture: Yes=4%; No=1%. | |
| Understanding of the Terms of Reference | 18. Team members has read thoroughly and agreed via email all the terms and items listed in the Terms of Reference and seeks to improve their performance in carrying out the assignment: Yes=3%; No=1%. | 5% |
| | 20. The members has provided for reporting timelines and outputs in the Technical Proposal [2%] | |
| Methodology | 21. The Firm has provided an explanation of their understanding of the objectives and the approach stated in the Terms of References: Relevant=18%; Unrelated=1%. | 18% |
| Workplan | 25. The firm has highlighted the final documents, including reports, drawings (2D and 3D), progress, outcomes, and feedback from each training module and tables to be delivered as final output: Yes=3%; No=1%. | 8% |
| | 26. The work plan details the Expert personnel Work Schedule, compatible with the whole workplan: Yes=5%; No=1%. | |
| References Letters, evidence of previous works | 27. The firm has provided relevant documents, letters (1st Page and Signed Page), or relevant information as proof of work and demonstrated experience and capacity to develop the project for IFRC Afghanistan [5%] | 5% |
| TECHNICAL EVALUATION SCORE OUT OF 100% | | 100% |